

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR

Date: December 11, 2025

I. PURPOSE

DoW Supply Discrepancy Reporting (SDR) Process Review Committee (PRC) monthly call series to discuss ongoing SDR issues within the DoW and other Federal agencies.

a. Announcement: Please use the new Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) SDR [ServiceNow](#) tile to request support, training, and to submit change requests and general questions. Submitting all future requests through ServiceNow will allow us to track activity to streamline project management, enable strategic prioritization, enhance visibility, and provide metrics to support resourcing justifications. It provides a central location to communicate in a more recognizable and traceable manner. A [desk guide](#) is available for use.

II. ATTENDEES

DoD Component/ Service/Agency	Name (Primary)	Name (Alternate)	Name	Name
ODASW(Log)				
DEDSO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corbin Austin	<input type="checkbox"/> Ben Breen		
DAAS	<input type="checkbox"/> Pablo Gomez	<input type="checkbox"/> Gary Wooddell <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Robert Williams	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sean Humenansky <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kimberly Roy	<input type="checkbox"/> Marsha Lucas <input type="checkbox"/> William Saccone
DLA HQ	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Troy Brown	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jose Pereira	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jen Smith	<input type="checkbox"/> Eric Powell
DLA Disposition Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Keith Catt	<input type="checkbox"/> Kyle Bentley		
U.S. Army	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weston Caldwell	<input type="checkbox"/> Grant Broome	<input type="checkbox"/> Tiffanie Dew	
USASAC FMS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Erik Morales	<input type="checkbox"/> Jeannette Guy		
U.S. Marine Corps	<input type="checkbox"/> MGySgt Jermaine Otey	<input type="checkbox"/> Steve Williams	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ted Wiechman	
U.S. Navy/PDREP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Krystal Abreu	<input type="checkbox"/> Adam Altice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alex Sparks	
U.S. Navy FMS	<input type="checkbox"/> Jeri Davis	<input type="checkbox"/> Barry Magagna III		
U.S. Air Force	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> William Wenzel	<input type="checkbox"/> Danielle Woods-Lewis		
AFSAC FMS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Timothy Hecht	<input type="checkbox"/> Mark Passage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cedell Tarver	
U.S. Coast Guard	<input type="checkbox"/> Fred Haub			
DCMA	<input type="checkbox"/> Laurie Cooper	<input type="checkbox"/> Richard Mast		
DSCA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Derrick Lee			
GSA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carey DeForest	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ben Hyatt	<input type="checkbox"/> Nancy Bamford	
USTRANSCOM	<input type="checkbox"/> Dan Eisenberg	<input type="checkbox"/> James DeCarli		
SPRS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belinda Labourdette	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Danielle Coombs		

All Call Participants: Please MUTE your phone during the call unless responding or asking a question. Do not place the call on HOLD.

Reminders: SDR records contain Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI). Please remember to mark CUI and encrypt when attaching or sending SDR details via e-mail.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – December 11, 2025

III. ACTION ITEMS

Date Opened	Action	Assigned To	Date Due	Date Closed	Status
02/11/2025	Proposed Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Change (PDC) 1492, Mandatory Use of Shipment Notification. Placed on hold on 04/01/2025 due to Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) conducting data analysis. Please note, we are still collecting responses.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DLA <input type="checkbox"/> ODASW <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Air Force <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AFSAC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Army <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Marine Corps <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Navy <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Coast Guard <input type="checkbox"/> DAAS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> USTRANSCOM	On Hold	N/A	OPEN
09/30/2025	PDC Numbering Tasker. Follow-up e-mail sent to PRC representatives on 10/22/2025.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DLA <input type="checkbox"/> USASAC <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Navy FMS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Air Force <input type="checkbox"/> AFSAC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Army <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Marine Corps <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Navy <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Coast Guard <input type="checkbox"/> DAAS <input type="checkbox"/> GSA <input type="checkbox"/> DCMA <input type="checkbox"/> DSCA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SPRS <input type="checkbox"/> USTRANSCOM	10/21/2025	N/A	OPEN
11/12/2025	842A/W Qualifier “EZ” Type Inspection Code Please check with your technical representatives to determine if the qualifier is being mapped.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DLA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Air Force <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Army <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Marine Corps <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Navy	12/11/2025	N/A	OPEN

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – December 11, 2025

Date Opened	Action	Assigned To	Date Due	Date Closed	Status
12/11/2025	Chapter 17 Rewrite Components are asked to review Chapter 17 and Appendix 7.28 and then provide feedback to DEDSO on any changes they would like to make to the chapter.	<input type="checkbox"/> DLA <input type="checkbox"/> USASAC <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Navy FMS <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Air Force <input type="checkbox"/> AFSAC <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Army <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Marine Corps <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Navy <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Coast Guard <input type="checkbox"/> DAAS <input type="checkbox"/> GSA <input type="checkbox"/> DCMA <input type="checkbox"/> DSCA <input type="checkbox"/> SPRS <input type="checkbox"/> USTRANSCOM	TBD	N/A	ONGOING
12/11/2025	Annual Appointment Letter Revalidation	<input type="checkbox"/> DLA <input type="checkbox"/> USASAC <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Navy FMS <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Air Force <input type="checkbox"/> AFSAC <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Army <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Marine Corps <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Navy <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Coast Guard <input type="checkbox"/> DAAS <input type="checkbox"/> GSA <input type="checkbox"/> DCMA <input type="checkbox"/> DSCA <input type="checkbox"/> SPRS <input type="checkbox"/> USTRANSCOM	01/30/2026	N/A	OPEN

IV. AGENDA

a. Packaging Threshold Increase for U.S. Army, U.S., Air Force, and U.S. Marine Corps Owned Materiel: The Defense Stock Readiness Group (DSRG) voted to increase the packaging threshold for Army, Air Force, and Marine Corps owned materiel non-procurement receipts from \$300 to \$1000. The packaging threshold for Army, Air Force, and Marine Corps new-procurement receipts remains at \$300. When cost to correct packaging or marking on a non-procurement receipt is \$1000 or less for Army, Air Force, or Marine Corps owned materiel receipts, DLA Distribution will perform the correction without authorization from the materiel owner and submit an informational SDR using action code 3B. DLA issued the attached memorandum as interim guidance until the DoW Stock Readiness Joint Service Regulation is updated and a PDC submitted to update DLMS Chapter 17.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – December 11, 2025

General Services Administration (GSA): Does that include GSA shipments going in as well?

DLA: It does not include GSA shipments. The DSRG increased the packaging threshold, not the Defense Packaging Policy Group (DPPG). The DPPG concurred, except for the Navy in both groups.

Air Force Security Assistance and Cooperation Directorate (AFSAC): Does this impact FMS customer submitted SDRs involving packaging issues?

DLA: No, this is only applicable at time of receipt at a DLA Distribution Center.



TQ-2025-013
Packaging Threshold

b. Chapter 17 Rewrite: After internal discussions, DEDSO has decided to rewrite the entire chapter rather than attempt to partially withdraw approved DLMS changes (ADCs). Partial withdrawals would make it more difficult for the Components to determine what changes still need to be implemented. Once the rewrite is complete and the ADC is signed, all future ADCs will update the entire chapter, rather than specific paragraphs. This will mean the current version of the chapter and implementation conventions (ICs) are what the Components need to implement to be DLMS compliant. The Defense Logistics Manual 4000.25 is now a living document. DEDSO received approval from the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of War (ODASW) to include all updates as they are approved, versus updates being completed every six months. Moving forward, the intent for Chapter 17 is that there will be less changes, and the changes that are required will be grouped together under one ADC that will update the entire chapter at one time. There will be a grace period of five years for implementation; after the five-year mark Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS) Web Supply Discrepancy Reporting (WebSDR) will begin sending rejections, when applicable, based on new rejection logic. Existing rules will continue to be enforced and are not impacted by the grace period.

(1) Updates:

- (a) Reviewing WebSDR Rejection Rules.
- (b) Reviewing 842 A/W and A/R Required Fields.
- (c) Verifying and adding WebSDR application edits to reduce the number of rejected transactions.

DEDSO: We are still looking for feedback on any changes you would like to make to the SDR process whether it involves Chapter 17 or the 842 A/W or A/R ICs. We have not set a deadline for feedback but would appreciate it if you could provide it as soon as possible.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – December 11, 2025

DLA: Can feedback be provided via e-mail and should interested parties funnel comments through their PRC Representatives?

DEDSO: Yes, e-mail is fine. We can set up meetings to discuss the specific changes or possibly add them as topics for the monthly working group meetings.

c. Annual Appointment Letter Revalidation: Please provide your Component's 2026 updated Appointment Letter by 01/30/2026.

DLA: Is it possible for us to get an extension since we are undergoing a reorganization?

DEDSO: We will allow for an extension if necessary.

GSA: We are also undergoing a reorganization, but our representatives will not change until the reorganization is complete.

d. 842A/W Qualifier "EZ" Type Inspection Code: ADC 1377A and 1439 added the inspection codes to the 842A/W as part of transitioning the Stock Readiness Quality Report (SQCR) document Type 5 to the SDR process. DEDSO is interested to know if any Component other than DLA Distribution is mapping the "EZ" when received on an 842A/W. **Please check with your technical representatives to determine if the qualifier is being mapped and report back by our next meeting on 12/11/2025.**

EZ	Type Inspection Code
DLMS Note:	
<i>For SQCR must use to indicate type of inspection.</i>	
<i>C= Visual (COSIS) Inspection</i>	
<i>F=Safety of Flight (SOF) Inspection (includes Aviation Safety Action Message (ASAM))</i>	
<i>M=Manually Created Type 5</i>	
<i>P=Special Inspection at Single Location</i>	
<i>Q=Quality Related Inspection</i>	
<i>R=Reimbursement Special Inspection</i>	
<i>S=Shelf life Inspection</i>	
<i>U=Safety of Use Inspection</i>	
<i>X=Critical Safety Item (CSI) Inspection</i>	
<i>Z=Suspect Counterfeit Inspection</i>	

U.S. Army: Army has implemented this qualifier and is currently mapped when received on an 842A/W. After investigation we determined that the cause code "EZ" has not been used.

U.S. Air Force:

Integrated Logistics System -Supply (ILS-S) Response: ILS-S has NOT mapped the EZ – Type Inspection Code or any other specific SQCR data mappings. If ILS-S is going to start receiving these DLMS transactions for stock screenings, ILS-S will need to make changes.

When ILS-S implemented the stock screening in FY2021, which is in use today, ILS-S was informed that ILS-S would never receive the 842 CR, which ILS-S had started to incorporate, since we were for retail users. Since then, ILS-S users, 435 SCOS/GWA, implemented the ILS-S use of the stock screening manual functionality in CY2025. There have not been any changes made to accommodate the move of (electronic) stock screenings into ILS-S as the assumption was that stock screenings would NOT be pushed to retail bases using the DLMS 842.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – December 11, 2025

D035 Response: D035 does not have an SDR process in the system at this time, so we do not have this data element in the system. We are working with the functionals as this capability is developed. This data element will be incorporated into D035 when we develop the SDR functionality.

DLA: EBS has not mapped the EZ.

DEDSO: We are requesting feedback concerning this qualifier as part of the Chapter 17 rewrite. We want to determine if qualifiers are still beneficial to the community or if they are no longer needed.

DLA: Are we saying that if nobody has mapped a qualifier, then we are going to interpret that as if we no longer want it? Are we not going to use it? Just because it is not mapped does not necessarily mean that it is not a good code.

DEDSO: No, we are just wanting to question if it is not mapped, is it because you are never going to use it, or has something changed since it was added and it is no longer needed? You are right, just because it is not mapped does not mean it is not useful.

GSA: We do not receive Type 5s, so we will not map the code.

DLA: Is that because GSA does not store material at distribution centers?

GSA: Yes.

DLA: Are you able to assist with a project DLA is working on involving items that get picked up into GSA ownership?

GSA: Yes, we can assist, along with our counterpart on the Supply PRC.

V. RECENT TOPICS AND NOTES FROM PREVIOUS CALLS

a. PDC 1492, Mandatory Use of Shipment Notification (Supply): This change authorizes Storage Activities to suspend materiel delivered without a matching Advance Shipment Notification (ASN) (e.g., ASN, shipment status, or returns). The current policy requires Shipping Activities to transmit advance notification to the Inventory Control Point (ICP) upon shipment; however, the notification is not always transmitted to the receiving activity, which results in the arrival of unexpected deliveries.

(1) Upon implementation of this change, the lack of an ASN will result in suspended stock. To reduce implementation efforts, DAAS will transmit a copy of the shipment notification to the ship-to address, based on the notification sent to the ICP. This was placed on hold on 04/01/2025 due to the DLA conducting a data analysis. Please note, we are still collecting responses.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – December 11, 2025

b. DEDSO SDR Data to Advana: DEDSO is working with DAAS/ODASW(Logistics) to have SDR data sent to Advana.

VI. FINAL WRAP-UP

To view relevant DLMS changes, please go to: [Approved DLMS Changes \(dla.mil\)](#).

VII. SDR WORKING GROUP MEETING NOTES

To view the most recent bi-monthly SDR implementation meeting notes please go to the SDR Committee page at www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/Committees/SDR and click the SDR Working Group Notes tab.

VIII. ACTIVITIES AND NEWSLETTER

DEDSO monthly updates and activities for the DLMS community can be found at: www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/About-Us.